

## 1

## 2

3

4

5

6

7

Case No.: 2:18-cv-00760-APG-GWF

**Order (1) Granting in Part Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Tender and Unjust Enrichment; (2) Dismissing Plaintiff's Declaratory Relief Claim Against Meridian; (3) Dismissing Plaintiff's Wrongful Foreclosure Claim Against Meridian and Red Rock; and (4) Denying Other Pending Motions as Moot**

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1           Only Meridian responded, arguing that the HOA's lien included fees and costs, so  
2 BONY's payment of only nine months' of assessments did not satisfy the lien. Meridian also  
3 argues it rejected BONY's tender in good faith and BONY took no further action to preserve its  
4 deed of trust after that rejection. Finally, Meridian states that it does not oppose BONY's unjust  
5 enrichment claim against Invest Vegas. Meridian also moves for summary judgment, raising  
6 many of these same arguments. As to BONY's wrongful foreclosure claim, Meridian argues the  
7 foreclosure was not wrongful because it is undisputed the homeowner was in default on the HOA  
8 assessments and Meridian's rejection of the tender was in good faith.

9           The parties are familiar with the facts, and I will not repeat them here except where  
10 necessary to resolve the motions. Because no genuine dispute remains that BONY tendered the  
11 superpriority amount, BONY is entitled to judgment as a matter of law on its own declaratory  
12 relief claim and on Invest Vegas's counterclaims. Because the deed of trust was not  
13 extinguished, there is no basis to set aside the sale, so I dismiss Meridian from the quiet title  
14 claim. I grant summary judgment in Meridian's and Red Rock's favor on the wrongful  
15 foreclosure claim because it was an alternative claim for relief if the deed of trust was  
16 extinguished.

17           As for BONY's unjust enrichment claim, I deny it because BONY has not sufficiently  
18 shown that it paid HOA assessments for this property and BONY has not supplemented its  
19 complaint to request additional sums BONY allegedly paid after the complaint was filed. I  
20 decline to consider BONY's arguments regarding unjust enrichment against Meridian because I  
21 previously dismissed that claim against Meridian. BONY did not move for summary judgment  
22 on its unjust enrichment claim against Red Rock. That claim therefore remains pending.

23 ////

1 **I. ANALYSIS**

2 Summary judgment is appropriate if the movant shows “there is no genuine dispute as to  
3 any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.” Fed. R. Civ. P.  
4 56(a), (c). A fact is material if it “might affect the outcome of the suit under the governing law.”  
5 *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 477 U.S. 242, 248 (1986). A dispute is genuine if “the evidence  
6 is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party.” *Id.*

7 The party seeking summary judgment bears the initial burden of informing the court of  
8 the basis for its motion and identifying those portions of the record that demonstrate the absence  
9 of a genuine issue of material fact. *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317, 323 (1986). The  
10 burden then shifts to the non-moving party to set forth specific facts demonstrating there is a  
11 genuine issue of material fact for trial. *Fairbank v. Wunderman Cato Johnson*, 212 F.3d 528, 531  
12 (9th Cir. 2000); *Sonner v. Schwabe N. Am., Inc.*, 911 F.3d 989, 992 (9th Cir. 2018) (“To defeat  
13 summary judgment, the nonmoving party must produce evidence of a genuine dispute of material  
14 fact that could satisfy its burden at trial.”). I view the evidence and reasonable inferences in the  
15 light most favorable to the non-moving party. *James River Ins. Co. v. Hebert Schenk, P.C.*, 523  
16 F.3d 915, 920 (9th Cir. 2008).

17 **A. Declaratory Relief and Wrongful Foreclosure**

18 Under Nevada law, a “first deed of trust holder’s unconditional tender of the superpriority  
19 amount due results in the buyer at foreclosure taking the property subject to the deed of trust.”  
20 *Bank of Am., N.A. v. SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC*, 427 P.3d 113, 116 (Nev. 2018) (en banc). To  
21 be valid, tender must be for “payment in full” and must either be “unconditional, or with  
22 conditions on which the tendering party has a right to insist.” *Id.* at 118.

23 ////

1       There is no dispute that Bank of America, N.A. paid the superpriority amount in full.  
2 The monthly homeowners association (HOA) assessment was \$408.00 per month. ECF No. 23-2  
3 at 13-18. Prior to the HOA foreclosure sale, Bank of America tendered \$3,672.00 to cover the  
4 superpriority amount of nine months' of assessments. *Id.* at 21-22. There is no evidence  
5 Meridian recorded a second notice of delinquent assessment lien that might have triggered a  
6 second superpriority lien. *See Prop. Plus Investments, LLC v. Mortg. Elec. Registration Sys.,*  
7 *Inc.*, 401 P.3d 728, 731-32 (Nev. 2017) (en banc). The Supreme Court of Nevada has already  
8 rejected similar arguments that Meridian makes regarding the conditions on the tender, the  
9 amount of the superpriority lien, and good faith rejection of the tender. *See Bank of Am., N.A.*,  
10 427 P.3d at 117-18, 121; *Nationstar Mortg., LLC v. Jackel Properties, LLC*, No. 75040, 435 P.3d  
11 1224, 2019 WL 1244787, at \*1 (Nev. 2019). The superpriority lien therefore was extinguished,  
12 and the property remains subject to the deed of trust. *Bank of Am., N.A.*, 427 P.3d at 121.

13       Consequently, I grant BONY's motion for summary judgment on its declaratory relief  
14 claim against Invest Vegas and on Invest Vegas's counterclaims. Because the HOA sale will not  
15 be set aside, I dismiss the declaratory relief claim against Meridian because it is no longer a  
16 necessary party to that claim.<sup>1</sup> Additionally, because the deed of trust was not extinguished, and  
17 BONY asserted its wrongful foreclosure claim against Meridian and Red Rock as an alternative  
18 means of relief in the event that its deed of trust was extinguished,<sup>2</sup> I dismiss that claim as moot.

19       ////

---

21       <sup>1</sup> I deny as moot Meridian's motion for summary judgment because I am dismissing the  
22 remaining claims against Meridian. I deny as moot BONY's duplicative motions for summary  
judgment at ECF Nos. 31 and 32.

23       <sup>2</sup> ECF No. 1 at 14 (alleging that "[i]f it is determined that the Deed of Trust has been  
extinguished by the HOA Sale as a proximate result of HOA and HOA Trustee's wrongful  
foreclosure," then BONY has suffered damages).

1           **B. Unjust Enrichment**

2           BONY moved for summary judgment on its unjust enrichment claim against Invest  
3 Vegas only. In its reply, BONY argues Meridian also was unjustly enriched. I do not consider  
4 these arguments because I do not consider arguments raised for the first time in a reply and I  
5 previously dismissed the unjust enrichment claim against Meridian. ECF No. 26 at 4.

6           As for the unjust enrichment claim against Invest Vegas, BONY has not sufficiently  
7 shown that it twice paid HOA assessments on this property. As to the first alleged payment,  
8 BONY provides a check made out to Red Rock by Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing in the amount  
9 of \$7,149.19. ECF No. 23-4. BONY presents no evidence that Shellpoint was BONY's servicer  
10 for this property, there is nothing on the check that shows it was for this property, and BONY has  
11 presented no other evidence except an email chain that does not identify this as the subject  
12 property. ECF No. 23-5. Instead it identifies the case as "BNY v. Kouris/Invest Vegas –  
13 Meridian condo" without reference to this case number or the property address. Given the  
14 number of HOA disputes and the frequency with which the same parties regularly appear in  
15 these disputes, I cannot presume that this check and this email chain necessarily refer to the  
16 property at issue in this case.

17           BONY also seeks to obtain judgment on a second check that post-dates the complaint.  
18 BONY attaches as an exhibit a check in the amount of \$6,095.00 that again does not identify the  
19 property for which the check is being issued. ECF No. 23-6. Further, the check post-dates the  
20 complaint. *Id.*; ECF No. 1. As BONY apparently recognized in the email chain (assuming it  
21 relates to this property), BONY should have supplemented its complaint if it wanted to assert  
22 post-complaint claims against Invest Vegas. ECF No. 25-5 at 2; Fed R. Civ. P. 15(d). It did not  
23

1 do so. I therefore deny BONY's motion for summary judgment on its unjust enrichment claim  
2 against Invest Vegas.

3 Finally, neither BONY nor Red Rock moved for summary judgment on BONY's unjust  
4 enrichment claim against Red Rock. I did not dismiss that claim against Red Rock. ECF No. 26.  
5 That claim therefore remains pending.

6 **II. CONCLUSION**


7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff Bank of New York Mellon's motion for  
8 summary judgment (**ECF No. 23**) is **GRANTED in part**. The motion is granted as to Bank of  
9 New York Mellon's declaratory relief claim and defendant Invest Vegas, LLC's counterclaims.  
10 The motion is denied as to Bank of New York Mellon's unjust enrichment claim.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff Bank of New York Mellon's declaratory relief  
12 claim is dismissed as moot against defendant Meridian Private Residence Homeowners  
13 Association.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff Bank of New York Mellon's wrongful  
15 foreclosure claim is dismissed as moot against defendants Meridian Private Residence  
16 Homeowners Association and Red Rock Financial Services.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Meridian Private Residence Homeowners  
18 Association's motion for summary judgment (**ECF No. 30**) and plaintiff Bank of New York  
19 Mellon's motions for summary judgment (**ECF No. 31, 32**) are **DENIED as moot**.

20 DATED this 11th day of June, 2019.

21  
22   
23 ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE